UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,638	11/12/2003	Amit V. Patel	911	7888	
Amit V. Patel	7590 07/17/200	EXAMINER			
2289 Willoway		JACKSON, BRANDON LEE			
Yorktown Heig	IIIS, IN 1 10398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3772		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/706,638	PATEL, AMIT V.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

<i></i>	Examine	Aironn			
	BRANDON JACKSON	3772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(E FIRST REFLT WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as		
_	liance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two month	a af tha data af		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
	hut muian ta tha data af filing a buiaf	will not be entered be			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven a status of the plain(s) is (squiil be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t before or on the date of filing a N	otics of Annaal will no	t ha antarad		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Patricia Bianco/	/Drandan lastrass/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772	/Brandon Jackson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the Maddox/Picolet device is not a universal device that may be used on differnet portions of the body. However, the Maddow/Picolet device teaches all the structure of the claimed invention, and therefore, would be fully capable of performing the same functions. Applicant's claims are apparatus claims and therefore if the prior art teaches all the structure, then it reads on the claims. Applicant argues that modifying the Maddox device with the Picolet device would render these inventions inoperable. However, Applicant has provided no evidence or support to why the modification would render the inventions inoperable.